## The Performance of CCSD(T)(a) for Core-Excitations and -Ionizations

Devin Matthews<sup>1</sup>, Henrik Koch<sup>2</sup>, Sonia Coriani<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The University of Texas at Austin, Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, Austin, TX, 78712 <sup>2</sup>Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Chemistry, Trondheim, Norway, N-7491 <sup>3</sup>Technical University of Denmark, Department of Chemistry, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark, DK-2800

The accuracy of the recently-developed CCSD(T)(a) method and its non-iterative counterpart  $CCSD(T)(a)^*$  is examined for core-excitation and -ionization processes relevant to XPS and NEXAFS spectroscopies. The equation-of-motion excitation and ionization (EOMEE and EOMIP) variants of these methods are employed in conjunction with the core-valence separation (CVS) ansatz. CCSD(T)(a) is compared to standard CVS-EOM-CCSD and -CCSDT as well as other iterative and non-iterative triples approximations. This method is shown to recover a large portion of the triples contribution for predominantly single-excitation character states, such as primary shape resonances in the X-ray region.