## A New Algorithm for Computing Optimization Gradients Within the Graphically Contracted Function Method

Gergely Gidofalvi and <u>Ron Shepard</u>, Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439

In the Graphically Contracted Function Configuration Interaction (GCF-CI) method [1-4], the wave function is written as a linear combination of graphically contracted functions (GCFs), and each GCF in turn is formally equivalent to a linear combination of configuration state functions (CSFs) that comprise an underlying linear expansion space of dimension  $N_{CSF}$ . The CSF coefficients that define the basis functions are nonlinear functions of a smaller number of variables called arc factors that are associated with the underlying Shavitt Graph. Wave functions are optimized with respect to the  $N_{GCF}$  linear CI expansion coefficients using the Graphical Unitary Group Approach (GUGA), and consequently it does not suffer from spin contamination or spin instability. The expansion form is appropriate for both ground and excited states and to closed- and open-shell molecules.

Using an efficient recursive approach, the effort required to construct an individual hamiltonian matrix element in the GCF basis  $H_{MN} = \langle M | \hat{H} | N \rangle$  scales as  $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$  for a wave function expanded in *n* molecular orbitals. The corresponding metric matrix element  $S_{MN} = \langle M | N \rangle$  requires effort that scales as  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , the one-particle transition density  $\mathbf{D}^{MN}$  requires  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  effort, the two-particle density  $\mathbf{d}^{MN}$  requires  $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$  effort. Previous work [3-4] has shown how the gradient of the energy with respect to the arc factors may be computed with  $\mathcal{O}(n^5)$  effort. This previous algorithm consists of a linear  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  linear step embedded within the recursive  $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$  hamiltonian matrix element algorithm. In the present work, a new algorithm is described that is fully recursive and allows the gradient to be computed with  $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$  effort. Timing comparisons will be presented for the  $H_{MN}$  matrix element construction, the old gradient algorithm, and this new algorithm.

- 1. R. Shepard, J. Phys. Chem. A 109, 11629 (2005).
- 2. R. Shepard, J. Phys. Chem. A 110, 8880 (2006).
- 3. R. Shepard and M. Minkoff, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 106, 3190 (2006).
- 4. R. Shepard, M. Minkoff, and S. R. Brozell, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 107, 3203 (2007).

The submitted manuscript has been created by UC hicago Argonne, LLC, Operator of Argonne National Laboratory ("Argonne"), ALS Department, of Energy Office of Science laboratory, is operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. The U.S. Government retains for itself, and others acting on its behalf, a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license in said article to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, by or on behalf of the Government.